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# Status Report

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1. General Project Information** | | | | |
| **Project Name:** | *Fiat Access Gateways.*  *The goal of the project is to build fiat access ramps for Dash.* [*https://www.dash.org/forum/threads/prioritization-of-fiat-gateways.8457/*](https://www.dash.org/forum/threads/prioritization-of-fiat-gateways.8457/) | | | |
| **Project Manager:** | *Daniel Diaz* | | | |
| **2. Status RAG (Red, Amber, Green)\*** | | | | |
|  | | **Time** | **Budget** | **Risks** |
| **Complete:** | |  |  |  |
| **Green:** | |  |  |  |
| **Amber:** | |  |  |  |
| **Red:** | |  |  |  |
| **3. Overall Status** | | | | | |
| Development of the project in progress, however no new timelines set yet.  No new delays experienced.  Budget for the project as planned.  There is no new risks identified.  New company involved in the project – Tigo. Tigo is an ATM operator, who is going to offer Lamassu machines with the new software installed. Tigo received a portion of 5 ATMs and they are re-flushed with Dash software (1 is already sold) | | | | | |
| **4. Progress and Achievements Since Last Reporting Period** | | | | | |
| **Technical specification** released: <https://github.com/GitGuild/gitguild_website/wiki/Git-Guild-Technical-Overview>  **Lamassu**   * Software development is moving but it requires additional expert knowledge (which is in place) as Lamassu team went further than expected with ETH and all of this needs to be checked and updated, therefore Lamassu software development still takes a lot of time. * Draft release is expected next week (merged software) - this will be a first internal checkpoint * At the moment there are 3 devs working on the Lamassu and the team is stable * Next week we intend to create a new project plan with checkpoints for Lamassu sub-stream   **Broker**   * the project went in the side of JavaScript implementation and this needs to corrected to the usual framework * another engineer works on the proper stack * in 2 weeks it should be done * we can create a draft plan during the next call   **Exchange project**   * Development team of one of the most attractive BTC wallets cooperates on the project. They will be potentially partnering in software development process. * Deginner is looking for operators of the exchange (there are two potential candidates already contacted and they are interested) * Expected timelines for integrations: * software is going to be ready this year * integrations and rollout is expected also this year | | | | | |
| **5. Steps Planned For The Next Reporting Period** | | | | | |
| * Project Plan creation * Integration of the code for Lamassu * Launch of CTMs * Work on the trade manager software (trade bots, Dash market making software) | | | | | |
| **5. Time** | | | | | |
| * No new timelines to be set yet. A new project plan to be created when the team is finally on-boarded and documentation is ready. | | | | | |
| **6. Budget** | | | | | |
| * Project delivery within the contracted budget. No budget changes expected. | | | | | |
| **7. Risks** | | | | | |
| * CryptoCapital.co bug fixed and solution offered by the Deginner. * ETH is hard coded in Lamassu additional time is required to make the code dynamic. Coding is in progress (however no timelines shared). | | | | | |

**\*RAG Status Guidelines**

|  | **Time** | **Budget** | **Risks** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Green:** | Key milestones are met and critical path and delivery end date are not affected. | Cost variance is < 10% of lifetime cost. | No risk is reported Amber or Red. |
| **Amber:** | One or several key milestones cannot be met but critical path and delivery end date are not affected. | Cost variance is 10%-20% of lifetime cost. | At least one risk is reported as Amber and none is reported as Red. |
| **Red:** | One or several key milestones cannot be met. Critical path and delivery end date are affected. | Cost variance is >= 20% of lifetime cost. | At least one risk is reported as Red. |